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## Principal Message

It is a pleasure to report on Centennial School's academic assessment and student survey results, as well as to provide analysis and projections as we move forward during the next three years. This report includes parts of our Positive Environment Plan as well as our First Nation and Metis Program Logic model.

Together, we will continue to achieve great things as a school community. We look forward to many opportunities for student success. With increasing student leadership opportunities and a commitment to providing prescriptive supporting programs we are confident our students will be increasingly successful. We are focused on meeting and exceeding our school and WRPS jurisdiction goals of ongoing improvement and success in Language Arts and Mathematics.

We will continue our staff development with a focus on high yield instructional strategies to support student learning and work on effectively engaging students.

Our student survey data provide good indications of changing areas of need, and we intend to continue to adjust our student programs and instructional efforts to meet student needs.

We look at the big picture of academics as well as the social, physical and emotional needs of our students. With Centennial School designated as an Alberta EverActive School, we will continue promoting student physical activity as a priority! We will be working hard to promote healthy living with our Health and Nutrition program. Increased student sports and wellness opportunities will be led by our Gr. 6-8 physical education teachers and coaches.

Our school planning is centered over how we can best serve our students and provide an optimum learning environment. This education plan and results report will serve to report on student achievement, our program rationale, and our focus moving forward. I trust you will find the information helpful.

Thanks to all parents, School Parent Council, and community members, who are working together with school staff to support our students. I look forward to continuing to work with you this school year.

Sincerely,
Mr. David Luck,
Principal
School: 3145 Centennial School

Notes. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 Suppression is marked with an astenisk (")
Overall evaluaions can only be calculated if both improvement and achievement evalualions are available.
Survey results for the province and school authorities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the survey through the introduction of the OurSCHOOLTTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey in 2014.
Aggregated PAT results are based upon a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence). The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE); Francais (Grades 6, 9); French Language Arts (Grades 6, 9); Mathematics (6.9,9 KAE); Science (Grades 6. 9,9 KAE); and Social Studies (Grades 8، 9, 9
Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the flooding in June 2013 (Grade 9 only) and by the fires in May to June 2016. Caution should be used when interpreting lrends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.
Aggregated Diploma results are a weighted average of percent meetin
Examination for each course. Courses included: English Language Arts 30-1; English Language Arts 30-2; French Language Arts 30-1; Français $30-1$; Mathematics $30-1$; Mathematics $30-2$; Chemistry 30,
Caution should be used when interpreting evaluations and results over time for Mathematics $\mathbf{3 0 - 1 / 3 0 - 2 , ~ a s ~ e q u a t i n g ~ w a s ~ n o t ~ i n ~ p l a c e ~ u n t i l ~ t h e ~} 2016 / 17$ school year. Alberta Education does not comment on Participation in Diploma Examinations was impacted by the flooting in June 2013 and by the fires in May to June 2016. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and
those school authonities affected by these events.
Due to the change from previous data source systems to Provincial Approach to Student Information (PASI), Rutherfort Scholarship Eligibility Rate results prior to 2015 are not available.

## Measure Evaluation Reference

## Achilevement Evaluation

Achievement evaluation is based upon a comparison of Current Year data to a set of standards which remain consistent over time. The Standards are calculated by taking the 3 year average of baseline data for each measure across all school jurisdictions and calculating the 5 th. 25th, 75th and 95th percentiles. Once calculated, these standards remain in place from year to year to allow for consistent planning and evaluation.
The lable below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure.

| Measure | Very Low | Low | Intermediate | High | Very High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safe and Caring | 000-7t 62 | 77,62-81.05 | 81.05-84.50 | 8450.8803 | 8803 - 10000 |
| Program of Studies | 000-6631 | 86.31-72.85 | 72,65-78,43 | 7843-8i59 | 8159 - 10000 |
| Education Quality | 000-8094 | 80,94-84.23 | 84.23-87.23 | $87.33 \cdot 8560$ | $8960-10000$ |
| Drop Out Rate | 10000.940 | 9.40-6.90 | 6.90-4.27 | 43.7 - 775 | 275.000 |
| High School Completion Rate (3 yr) | 000-5703 | 57.03-82.36 | 62 36-7388 | 7388-8179 | \% 75 - 10000 |
| PAT: Acceptable | 000-6607 | 66.07-70.32 | 70.32-7981 | 798i - 8i 6.5 | 6. 54.10000 |
| PAT: Excellence | $000-997$ | 0.97-13.44 | 1344-1956 | 1956. 2583 | 2588.10000 |
| Diploma: Acceptable | 000-71.45 | 71.45-78.34 | 78 34-84.76 | 8.4.76-8795 | $8395 \cdot 10000$ |
| Diploma: Excellence | 000.955 | 8.55-12.58 | 1259-1938 | 15. 38 - 23.30 | $2320-10000$ |
| Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | $000 \cdot 31.10$ | 31.10-44.11 | 44.11-55.78 | 15578.5595 | 6599.10000 |
| Transition Rate (6 yr) | 000-3980 | 39.80-48.94 | 4694-5615 | 56 i5 -68 3.4 | 683 34-100 00 |
| Work Preparation | 0.00-6692 | 68.92-72.78 | 7278-77.78 | 7778.8613 | 856, 13-100 00 |
| Citizenship | 000-6530 | 68,30-71.63 | 71,63-77.50 | 715 50-8108 | $8103 \cdot 10000$ |
| Parental Involvement | $0.00 \cdot 7075$ | 70.76-7458 | 74.58-78.50 | $7850 \cdot 8.330$ | 82 $30 \cdot 10000$ |
| School Improvement | 000-6525 | 85 25-70.85 | 70.85-78.28 | 76.28-80:4 | 8041 -10000 |

Notes:

1) For all measures except Drop Out Rate; The range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than or equal to the lower value, and less than the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from greater than or equal to the lower value to 100\%.
2) Drop Out Rate measure: As "Drop Out Rate" is inverse to most measures (i,e, lower values are "better"), the range of values at each evaluation level is interpreled as greater than the lower value and less than or equal to the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from $0 \%$ to less than or equal to the higher value.

## Improvement Table

For each jurisdiction, improvement evaluation consists of comparing the Current Year result for each measure with the previous three-year average. A chl-square statistical test is used to detemine the significance of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.
The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

| Evaluation Category | Chi-Square Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Decirned Signficantly | $384+$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Declined | $1.00-3.83$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Maintained | less than 1.00 |
| Improved | $1.00-3.83$ (current > previous 3-year average) |
| Inpmwed Sigaificarily | $384+$ (current > previous 3-year average) |

## Overall Evaluation Table

The overall evaluation combines the Achievement Evaluation and the Improvement Evaluation. The table below illustrates how the Achievement and Improvement evaluations are combined to get the overall evaluation.

|  | Achlevement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improvement | Very High | High | Intermediate | Low | Very Low |
| Improved Significantly | £xcellent | Good | Goorf | Csood | Acceptable |
| Improved | Exceliont | Good | Good | Acceptable | Issue |
| Maintained | Exceltent | Fioort | Acceptable | Issue | Concern |
| Declined | Good | Acceptable | Issuo | Issue | Concern |
| Declined Significantly | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern | Concern |

## Catagory Evaluation

The category evaluation is an average of the Overall Evaluation of the measures that make up the category. For the puspose of the calculation, consider an Overall Evaluation of Excellent to be 2, Good to be 1, Acceptable to be 0 , Issue to be -1 , and Concern to be -2 . The simple average (mean) of these values rounded to the nearest integer produces the Category Evaluation value. This is converted back to a colour using the same scale above (e.g. 2=Excellent, $1=$ Good, $0=$ Intermediate, $-1=1$ issue, $-2=$ Concern)

## Outcome One: Alberta's students are successful

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targats |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2016 | 2017 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2018 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Overall percentage of students in Grades 6 and 9 who achieved the acceptable standard on Provincial Achievement Tests (overall cohort results). | 93.8 | 55.5 | 67.5 | 75.0 | 59.8 | 80 | Very Low | Maintained | Concern | 80 | 82 | 84 |
| Overall percentage of students in Grades 6 and 9 who achieved the standard of excellence on Provincial Achievement Tests (overall cohort results). | 6.3 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 15 | Very Low | Maintained | Concern | 15 | 17 | 20 |

## Comment on Results

(an assessment of progress toward achieving the target)
We are continuing to work on supporting students in interacy and numeracy. Diagnosfic testing in the fall followed by prescriptive supporting programs to meet student needs and work on skils is our nomal routine. A significant factor in our current results is due to the number of students that are enrolled but not being taught at Grade level. Results for students that were taught at Grede level are much closer to or at provincial averages. As we continue to work on bringing students to Grade level, overall cohort resuits will significanty improve.

## Strategies

1. Increase student participation on the Provincial Achievement Tests (with use of approved accommodations)
2. Use of student accommodations where applicable and when justifiable as per programming
3. Provide supports to enrich student learning to increase number of students attaining the Standard of Excellence
4. Provide supports to increase student reading comprehension
5. Provide supports to increase student writing levels
6. Continue effective programs that demonstrate improved student results \& performance such as Reading Mastery \& Precision Reading
7. Invested in a comprehensive school-wide guided reading program to benefit all students
8. Fostering a collaborative staff environment to develop consistent delivery of programs and use of authorized resources that meet and exceed student outcomes
9. Comprehensive student health approach to enable students to be setup for success
10. School-wide use and expectation of using research proven Daily 5 framework to improve literacy success
11. Use of remadial math programs (Leaps and Bounds) to increase numeracy success
12. Precision Reading Support - All Support Staff trained
13. Focus on diegnostic assessment and prescriptive programming to meat student naeds
14. School-wide instructional focus on high yielding strategies
15. School-wite consistency with regard to use of resources and assessment
16. Leadership Team and Leaming Support Team close monitoring and review of student concems

## Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. Overall evaluations can only be calculated if both improvement and achievement evaluations are available.
3. Aggregated PAT results are based upon a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence) The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE), Françass (Grades 6, 9), French Language Arts (Grades 6, 9); Mathematics (6, 9, 9 KAE); Science (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE); and Social Studies (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE).
4. Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the fooding In June 2013 (Grade 9 only) and by the fires in May to June 2016 Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.

Outcome One: Alberta's students are successful (continued)

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2018 | 2017 |  | Achlevement | Improvement | Overall | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students who are satisfied that students model the characteristics of active citizenship. | 81.3 | 81.9 | 82.2 | 71.8 | 72.2 | 85 | Intermediate | Maintained | Acceptable | 85 | 87 | 89 |
| Percentage of teachers and parents who agree that students are taught attitudes and behaviours that will make them successful at work when they finish school. | 90.0 | 89.2 | 83.3 | n/a | n/a | 85 | n/a | r/a | n/a | 85 | 87 | 89 |

## Comment on Results

(an assessment of progress toward achiving the target)
Our results in this area are up marginally. We are pleased on maintaining our results, but are focused on increasing overall satisfaction rates.
We have been making great efforts to offer a rich environment of school-wide social supports that focus on respect, character education, student senvices and academic programs.

As our province and school jurisdiction have faced economic challenges and program consolidation, seme public perception has been negative in recent years. We believe this will change as we see the benefits of our new divisional reorganization and reconfiguration and improving provincial economy.

With our continuing efforts to provide comprehensive academic programs and realize increasing student success, we anticipate these results to be even higher next year.

## Strategies

1. Continue to offer quality Learning Opportunities
2. Continue to offer Student Leadershlp opportunities
3. Conthue to offer programs that meet our student needs
4. Develop the component of Careers at the upper elementary level and Junior high level
5. Continue to work on Assessment and school-wide consistency and use of resources
6. Engage more parents at School Council meetings so they can be informed of our comprehensive assortment of programs
7. Encouraging greater parental owareness and involvement through our school support services
8. Supporting students by coaching via our school resources to develop skills and increase student success

## Notes:

1. Survey results for the province and school authorities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the suivey through the
infoduction of the OurSCHOOLTTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey in 2014 . infroduction of the OurSCHOOLTTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey in 2014.
2. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than $\boldsymbol{B}$. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (").

## Outcome Two: The systemic education achievement gap between First Nations, Métis and Inuit students and all other students is eliminated

(Results and evaluations for First Nations, Métis and Inuit measures are required for Public/Separate/Francophone schools only)

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | Target | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2016 | 2017 | 2017 | Achlevernent | improvement | Overall | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Overall percentage of self-identified FNMI students in Grades 6 and 9 who achieved the acceptable slandard on Provincial Achievement Tests (overall cohort results). | 91.7 | 44.0 | 63.3 | 65.0 | 39.3 | 70 | Very Low | Declined | Concern | 75 | 77 | 80 |
| Overall percentage of self-identified FNMII students in Grades 6 and 9 who achieved the standard of excellence on Provincial Achievement Tests (overall cohort results). | 6.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 7.1 | 10 | Very Low | Maintaineđ́ | Concern | 12 | 15 | 18 |
| Overall percentage of self-identified FNMI students who achieved the acceptable standard on diploma examinations (overall results). | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |  |
| Overall percentage of self-identified FNMI students who achieved the standard of excellence on diploma examinations (overall results). | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |  |

## Comment on Results

We continue to work on strategies to reduce our gap between setfidentified FNMI students and provincial average results. The cohort results for 2016-2017 were negatively affected by the fact that a significant number of students were exempted from writing the PAT due to the fact that they did not receive the regular Grade 6 grade level programming. This explains a difference of approximataly $32 \%$ year over year. As we look at the student results for our FNMI that wers taught at grade level and wrote the PATs, we find that they performed much higher and much closer to provincial average.

## Strategies

1. Provide supports to increase student reading comprehension
2. Provide supports to increase student writing levels
3. Continue effective programs that demonstrate improved student results \& performance such as Reading Mastery
4. Continue use of comprehensive school-wide guided reading program to benefit all students
5. Continue the process of a collaborative staff environment to develop consistent delivery of programs and use of authorized resources that meet and exceed student outcomes
6. School-wide focus on creating a "Sense of Belonging" - Dr. Brokenleg model
7. School-wide embracing of comprehensive health model - social-emotional, healthy nutrition and physical activity

School-wide philosophy of creating a welcoming, easygoing, friendly learning environment to enhance student success
After-school supporting programs available to engage students and offer a rich assortment of activities
10. Involvement of community agencies to support our students
11. Celebrating our students cultural heritage - opportunities during assemblies to sing, dance, etc.
12. Supports of FNMI and FSLW staff to meet student needs
13. Support of our FNMI worker to engage families and increase their involvement in school

## Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (").
2. Overall evaluations can only be calculated if both improvement and achievement evaluations are available.
3. Aggregated PAT results are based upon a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence). The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE), Français (Grades 6, 9). French Language Arts (Grades 8, 日); Mathematics (B, 9, 9 KAE); Science (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE); and Social Studies (Grades 6, 9,9 KAE)
4. Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the flooding in June 2013 (Grade 9 only) and by the fires in May to June 2016 Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.
5. Aggregated Diploma results are a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceplable, Excellence) on Diploma Examinations. The weights are the number of students writing the Diploma Examination for each course Courses included; English Language Arts $30-1$; English Language Arts 30-2; French Language Arts 30-1; Français 30-1; Mathematics 30-1; Mathematics 30-2; Chemistry 30; Physics 30; Biology 30 Science 30; Social Studies 30-1; and Social Studies 30-2
Outcome Three: Alberta's education system is inclusive

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | Target | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2017 | Achievement | improvement | Overall | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Percentage of teacher, parent and student agreement that: students are safe at school, are learning the importance of caring for others, are learning respect for others and are treated fairly in school. | 88.7 | 87.9 | 92.0 | 80.6 | 82.5 | 85 | Intermediate | Maintained | Acceptable | 85 | 87 | 89 |

## Comment on Results

Results indicate an upward trend of teachers, parents and students that agree that students are safe at school and are learning the importance of caring for others, respecting others and treating others fairly. We would like to see this continue to move upward. The Centennial School Mindset and Mindfulness program is the main part of our school-wide Character Education effort. With input from all students, a school song was created and recorded. This song continues to be played during our assembles and events. Students and staff frequently are reminded about the importance of mindset and mindfuiness as a daily attive process.
As we strive to create and maintain a strong sense of belonging within our school and students, we anticipate our school-wide behavior issues to remain at minimum levels. We work on creating and maintaining lots of student leadership opportunities and a healthy, varied number of programs for students during intramurals, recess, and afterschool, we anticipate our strong safe and caring school atmosphere to continue.
Our plan is to continue to be proactive to meet the needs of our students. Safe and Caring Philosophy is embraced by all staff. We have a strong desire to create a sense of belonging for all students. As our school programs and supports designed to focus on student suctess are rolled out to better meet student needs and with hopefully, another year of "Needs based school funding and Budgeting", we anticipate satisfaction results to continue to move higher over the next five years.

## Strategles

1) Continue to embrace the Ramsankar \& Brokenleg Safe and Caring School's model
2) Setting kids up for sutcess for each day by offering breakfast, smudging, nutritious snacks and/or emergency lunches if desired and/or needed
3) Continue the process of a collaborative staff environment to develop consistent delivery of programs and use of authorized resources that meet and exceed student outcomes
4) Partnering with other schools - creating links, sharing resources, inviting classrooms to school events
5) Continue our School-Wide Mindset and Mindfulness Program that focuses on the promotion of understanding, virtues \& empathy
6) Continue with the an array of student supports to meet needs
7) Provide Student Leadership Opportunities to keep students actively engaged in positive roles
8) Provide Student Supports via Student Groups led by FSLW\& FNMI workers
9) Partner with community agencies to provide more programs and opportunities for our students
10) Continue to conduct student needs assessments and fine tune programming
11) We will offer supporting programs that we know make a difference
12) We will continue to effectively differentiate instruction to better meet the needs of all students
13) We will examine research \& programs to identify what can be offered and implemented to help our students be more successful
14) We will strategically plan school PD that will equip staff to effectively help students attain mastery of required curriculum outcomes
15) Effective and regular weekly 5 chool leadership team meetings to create awareness and work on strategies to help our students
16) Strategic use of student presentations to equip students with skills, knowledge and awareness of pertinent topics
[^0]
## Outcome Four: Alberta has excellent teachers, and school and school authority leaders

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2017 |  | Achievement | Improvement | Overall | 2018 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the opportunity for students to receive a broad program of studies including fine arts, career, technology, and health and physical education. | 75.8 | 82.1 | 83.0 | n/a | 75.0 | 80 | Intermediate | Maintained | Acceptable | 80 | 83 | 85 |

## Comment on Results

While funding concerns and potential school programming cuts may have contributed to lower satisfaction rates for our school and jurisdiction a few years ago, we continued to focus on improving our school programs and providing as much enriched program variety and student supports as possible. Our plan is to continue to be proactive to meet the needs of our students. We have established a five year plan to achieve greater school and student success by setting students up for success by looking after their health and well-being, making strategic investments in instructional literacy and numeracy programs. Our roll-out of chrome book devices has given teachers the ability of offering instruction using technology enhanced techniques that were not available before.

Our use of the My Blueprint program for Grade $7 \& 8$ student career and education planning has been well received and very beneficial to our students. We are excited to pilot an elementary version of My Blueprint in 2018. Health and physical education instruction has been re-energized with a greater focus on school-wide programs. As our school expanded to offer k-8 programs, and with the leadership of a physical education specialist we have increased student offerings in terms of health \& sport programs.

To the greatest extent possible, we value a strong fine arts program offering at Centennial School. We have created expectations among our school community to have an annual Christmas Concert and Spring Concert in which students can showcase their skills with singing, instruments and drama. We continue to provide opportunities for student performances throughout the school year.

Our school programs and supports are designed to focus on achieving greater student success are rolled out to better meet student needs. We anticipate satisfaction results to continue to move higher over the next five years

## Strategies

1) Continue our School-Wide Mindset and Mindfulness Programs
2) Offer speciallized instruction for Music, Sports, Health and Career instruction
3) Provide Student Leadership Opportunities to keep students actively engaged in positive roles
4) Provide Student Supports via Student Groups led by FSLW \& FNMI
5) Partner with community agencies to provide more programs and opportunities for our students
6) Continue to conduct student needs assessments and fine tune programming with pertinent remedial activities
7) We will offer supporting programs that we know make a difference
8) We will continue to effectively differentiate instruction to better meet the needs of all students
9) We will examine research \& programs to identify what can be offered and implemented to help our students be more successful
10) We will strategically plan school PD to equip our staff to effectively help students attain mastery of required curriculum outcomes
11) Programs that continue to be a foundation of our literacy and numeracy programming:

- School-Wide Guided Reading Program \& Literacy Centre - Featuring Newest Scholastic Program
- Reading Mastery \& Precision Reading
- School-Wide Handwriting Without Tears Program
- Sthool-Community Partnering with Local Agenctes to offer a variety of programs for students
- Leaps \& Bounds Remedial Math Program to increase student Math Success
- School-wide Daily 3 \& 5 Literacy framework \& Added emphasis on student writing


## Noles.

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/\$tudents is fewer than $\mathbf{6}$. Suppression is marked with an asterisk ("). 2. Survey results for the province and school authorities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the survey through the introduction of the OurSCHOOLTTFM (Tell Thern From Me) survey in 2014.

## Outcome Five: Alberta's education system is well governed and managed

| Performance Measure | Results (in percentages) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Target } \\ \hline 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Evaluation |  |  | Targots |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |  | Achlevement | Improvement | Overall | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students indicating that their school and schools in their jurisdiction have improved or stayed the same the last three years. | 76.2 | 85.5 | 87.9 | 86.7 | 89.6 | 90 | Very High | Maintained | Excellent | 90 | 92 | 95 |
| Percentage of teachers and parents satisfied with parental involvement in decisions about their child's education. | 81.0 | 96.9 | 100.0 | n/a | n/a | 90 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 90 | 92 | 95 |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the overall quality of basic education. | 89.2 | 91.8 | 94.3 | 96.3 | 92.2 | 95 | Very High | Maintained | Excellert | 95 | 96 | 97 |

## Comment on Results

We are targeting higher for the next year based on school efforts to get parents involved (FNMI Initiatives \& greater staffed FSLW time) and School Parent Council efforts at increasing Parental involvement. Generally, we find that most parents are content with school involvement opportunities. However, for many of our parents and families, they are too busy to commit to formal regular meetings.

We see a relatively high degree of satisfaction. We want to maintain and increase parental involvement opportunities. As we continue to develop programs for our $k-8$ school population, we anticipate greater parental satisfaction as students have access to new, varied educational programs. Our school community culture has changed with the new programs and opportunities that have emerged with the addition of grades 7 and 8 during the last year. This has been viewed very positively and we expect greater benefits to continue especially as far as student leadership is concerned.

## Strategies

1. Increose opportunities for parental involvement
2. Increase efforts ot having more parents come to and participate with School Porent Council
3. Special FNMI opportunities and events to have greater numbers of FNMI porents get involved
4. Provide supports to intrease student reading comprehension
5. Provide supports to increase student writing levels
6. Continue effective programs that demonstrate improved student results \& performance such as Reading Mastery
7. Continue to use our comprehensive school-wide guided reading program to benefit all students
8. Continue the process of a collaborative staff environment to develop consistent delivery of programs and use of authorized resources that meet and exceed student outcomes
9. Partnering with other schools - creoting links, shoring resources, inviting clossrooms to schoof events
10. Engaging FNMI parents with programs and leadership of FNMI Worker

## Notes:

[^1]| Citizenship - Measure Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students who are satisfied that students model the characteristics of active citizenship. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | School |  |  |  |  | Authority |  |  |  |  | Province |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Overall | 81.3 | 81.9 | 82.2 | 71.8 | 72.2 | 79.6 | 78.2 | 78.4 | 79.3 | 78.6 | 83.4 | 83.4 | 83.5 | 83.9 | 83.7 |
| Teacher | 100.0 | 96.4 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 92.6 | 91.8 | 91.6 | 93.9 | 91.8 | 93.6 | 93.8 | 94.2 | 94.5 | 94.0 |
| Parent | 66.7 | 84.6 | 90.0 | * | " | 73.5 | 76.3 | 76.3 | 74.6 | 75.1 | 80.3 | 81.9 | 82.1 | 82.9 | 82.7 |
| Student | 77.3 | 64.6 | 74.3 | 71.8 | 72.2 | 72.8 | 66.6 | 67.3 | 69.6 | 68.9 | 76.2 | 74.5 | 74.2 | 74.5 | 74.4 |



Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (")
2. Survey resutts for the province and school authoities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the survey through the introduction of the OurSCHOOLTTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey in 2014.

Provincial Achievement Test Results - Measure Details
PAT Course by Course Results by Number Enrolled.


Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 8 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (").
2. "A" = Acceplable; "E" = Excellence - the percentages achieving the acceptable standard include the percentages achieving the standard of excellence.
3. Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the flooding in June 2013 (Grade 9 only) and by the fires in May to June 2016 . Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.

## Graph of Overall Provincial Achievement Test Results



## Notes

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (")
2. Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the flooding in June 2013 (Grade 9 only) and by the fires in May to June 2016. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.


## Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondenis/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (")
2. Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the flooding in Jtune 2013 (Grade 9 only) and by the fires in May to June 2016. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.

PAT Results Course By Course Summary By Enrolled With Measure Evaluation

|  |  | Centennid Elementary Scheol |  |  |  |  |  |  | Alberta |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Achlowement | Improvernent | Overthli | 2017 |  | Prev 3 Year Avorrge |  | 2017 |  | Prev 3 Year Averega |  |
| Course | Measurs |  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | $\%$ |
| Englith Lanpuage Arts 8 | Acceptable Standard | Low | Maintained | Hseus | 33 | 69.7 | 28 | 74.2 | 49,572 | 82.5 | 46,989 | 82.5 |
|  | Standerd of Expollimice | Very Log | Maintained | Corrarn | 33 | 6.1 | 28 | 2.1 | 49,572 | 18.9 | 46,989 | 19.2 |
| French Langumge Ats 8 | Acceptablo Standard | ria | n'a | N/a | ria | Na | Tia | $\mathrm{r} \times \mathrm{a}$ | 3,185 | 85.1 | 2.864 | 877 |
|  | Stindard of Excoflenca | nia | n/a | N/a | r/a | Na | Tla | ria | 3,185 | 13.5 | 2,864 | 14.4 |
| Francalas 8 | Acceptable Stinderd | nia | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{s}$ | Na | NJa | ra | noa | ria | 542 | 92.1 | 524 | 90.3 |
|  | Stundard of Exctiltares | No | N'a | No | nua | Na | nia | na | 542 | 21.6 | 524 | 16.5 |
| Mathernatice 6 | Acceptuble Standard | Very Low | Maintained | Contern | 33 | 54.5 | 28 | 53.2 | 49.507 | 69.4 | 46,906 | 73.0 |
|  | Standard of Exeollence | Very low | Mainlained | Concorm | 33 | 6.1 | 28 | 2.1 | 49,507 | 12.6 | 46.506 | 14.5 |
| Sciance 6 | Acceptable Standard | Verylow | Dectined | Contern | 33 | 545 | 28 | 76.5 | 49.501 | 76.9 | 46.514 | 767 |
|  | Standard of Exerathence | Very Low | Meintained | Concerf | 33 | 9.1 | 28 | 8.7 | 49.501 | 29.0 | 46,914 | 25.8 |
| Seclal Stucies 8 | Acceptabla Stendiard | Low | Maintained | Hssue | 33 | 60.6 | 28 | 60.0 | 49,485 | 72.9 | 45,903 | 70.5 |
|  | Standard of Expetionce | Very 1.ew | Maintainad | Concern | 33 | 6.1 | 28 | 17 | 49,485 | 217 | 46,903 | 18.9 |
| English Lenguage Arta 9 | Aceoptabla Standard | n/a | nfa | ri/a | Na | nua | No | No | 45,487 | 76.8 | 43,746 | 76.3 |
|  | Stundard of Expellence | na | Na | ria | nua | n/a | Na | Na | 45,487 | 14.9 | 43,746 | 14.9 |
| Engith Lang Arts 9 KAE | Accoptable Etanderd | n/a | ria | n/a | NJa | ria | No | r/a | 1,428 | 58.8 | 1,576 | 619 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | nos | Na | N'a | nob | ria | n/a | Na | 1,429 | 5.9 | 1,576 | 4.8 |
| French Language Atr 8 | Acceptable Stardard | n/a | rla | n/a | N'a | nio | Na | noa | 2.763 | 83.1 | 2,625 | 85.1 |
|  | Standerd of Excellence | ria | nda | n/a | n/s | N(a | Na | no | 2.763 | 11.2 | 2,625 | 10.7 |
| Francais 9 | Acceptable Stendard | rab | n/a | n'a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{a}$ | Na | 378 | 88.9 | 392 | 87.0 |
|  | Standard of Exsellence | ria | n/a | r/a | ria | Na | n/a | n/a | 379 | 26.1 | 392 | 21.6 |
| Hathemalles 9 | Acceptable Standerd | Na | no | ria | n/e | n/a | Na | Na | 45,020 | 67.2 | 43.295 | 667 |
|  | Standard of Exeellenca | ria | n/a | No | Nia | n/a | n/s | NHa | 45,020 | 190 | 43,295 | 17.6 |
| Mathematles 9 KAE | Acceptable Standard | rio | ria | Na | Nis | N/8 | noa | na | 1,840 | 57.5 | 2,026 | 618 |
|  | Stendard of Excellance | Nio | T/a | Na | Nia | r/a | n/a | no | 1,848 | 13.3 | 2.026 | 14.0 |
| Science 8 | Acceptable Standerd | ria | nia | Na | Na | Na | n/a | n/a | 45,445 | 74.0 | 43,808 | 73.8 |
|  | Standerd of Excellance | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Tia | n/a | N/a | n/a | T/a | NJa | 45,445 | 21.4 | 43,808 | 22.4 |
| Scence 9 KAE | Acceptable Standerd | N/4 | Na | na | ria | n/a | Na | N/a | 1.440 | 63.9 | 1,547 | 64.1 |
|  | Standard of Excothence | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | no | Na | N a | nja | Ne | Na | 1,440 | 13.3 | 1.547 | 14.8 |
| Soclal Stuclios 9 | Acceptable Standard | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{a}$ | W/a | Na | ria | n/a | na | N/S | 45,464 | 67.0 | 43,722 | 65.1 |
|  | Standard of Excelfence | TVa | n/a | Na | Na | nia | Na | Na | 45,484 | 20.2 | 43.722 | 19.2 |
| Eoctal Stuclios 9 KAE | Acceptable Standerd | Na | N/a | N/a | na | ris | Na | Na | 1.393 | 56.3 | 1533 | 59.0 |
|  | Stanetard of Expellemee | Na | N/a | N/a | N/a | n/a | Nia | Na | 1393 | 12.7 | 1533 | 11.2 |

## Notes

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than B. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (").
2. Achievement Evaluation is not calculated for courses that do not have sufficient data available, either due to too few jurisdictions offering the course or because of changes in tests
3. Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the flooding in June 2013 (Grade 9 only) and by the fires in May to June 2018 . Caution should be used when interprating trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.

## Measure Evaluation Reference - Achievement Evaluation

Achievement evaluation is based upon a comparison of Current Year data to a set of standards which remain consistent over time. The Standards are calculated by taking the 3 year average of baseline data for each measure across all school jurisdictions and calculating the 5 th, 25th. 75th, and 95th percentiles. Once calculated, these standards remain in place from year to year to allow for consistent planning and evaluation.
The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achlevement evaluation levels for each measure.

| Course | Measure | Very Low | Low | Intermedlate | High: | Very High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts 6 | Acceptable Standard | 000-00495 | B7.95-78.40 | 78.40-86.09 | $8605.9133^{4}$ | 9437 - 10000 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 000.683 | 6.83-11.65 | 11.65-17.38 | if $36-32: 45$ | 2145-100 00 |
| French Language Arts 6 | Acceptable Standard | $000 \cdot 41.69$ | 41.69-73.54 | 7354.9232 | 5. $3^{2}-9753$ | $9593-10000$ |
|  | Standard of Excellence | $000 \cdot 272$ | 2.72-8.13 | 8.13-1529 | i5 38.2388. | 2386 - 10000 |
| Mathematics 6 | Acceptable Standard | 000.6391 | 63.91-70.73 | 70.73-7961 | 7946; 68867 | 3867 - 10000 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 000-853 | 8.53-11.31 | \$1.31-1813 | $18.13 \cdot 28 \mathrm{it}$ | $2.51 \%$ - 10000 |
| Science 6 | Acceptable Standard | 000-6036 | 60.38-78.51 | 78.51-86.46 | 85.46.906: | 90.64 - 10000 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 000-1174 | 11.74-17.42 | 17.42-25 34 | 25, 3i-3.3i | $343 \mathrm{i}-10000$ |
| Social Studies 6 | Acceptable Standard | 000-58.97 | 58.97-68.15 | 68.15-76 62 | 7652? - 8355 | \$355-10000 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | $000 \cdot 730$ | 7.30-12.45 | 1245-18.08 | is 08-3009 | 3009 - 100 00 |
| English Language Arts \% | Acceptable Standard | 000. 53.55 | 63.55-75.66 | 75.66-83.70 | 8370.6027 | 9027 - 10000 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 000-596 | 5.96-9.43 | 9.43-14.72 | 14472. 20.56 | 20.16 - 10000 |
| English Lang Arts \& KAE | Acceptable Standard | 000-2957 | 29.97-53.06 | 53.86-76.19 | 7615.5i83 | 9185-10000 |
|  | Standard of Excelience | 000-0.00 | 0.00-0.30 | 030-10.00 | 1000-203: | $2031 \cdot 10000$ |
| French Language Arts 9 | Acceptable Standard | 000-6759 | 87.58-81.33 | 81.33-92.06 | 52 05-57 ? 6 | 97\% - 10000 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | $000 \cdot 167$ | 1.67-8.81 | 6.81-17.11 | 1711-38 68 | 288880 |
| Mathematics 9 | Acceptable Standard | 000-52.42 | 52.42-80.73 | 60.73-73.88 | 7388.7800 | $7800 \cdot 10000$ |
|  | Standiard of Exceilence | 000.818 | 8.18-12.48 | 12.49-18.10 | 1810-2407 | -407-100 00 |
| Mathematics 日 KAE | Acceptable Standard | $000 \cdot 2814$ | 28.14-53.85 | 53.85-75.83 | 7583.94.4.9 | 944,4.10000 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 000-000 | 0.00-6.07 | 6.07-20.43 | 2043.3157 | $315 \%$ - 10000 |
| Science 9 | Acceptable Standard | 000.5057 | 50.57-60.14 | 60.14-7250 | 7: 50.7589 | $7689 \cdot 10000$ |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 000-3.39 | 3.39-6.71 | 6.71-11.81 | 128i-1585 | 1588. 10000 |
| Science 9 KAE | Acceptable Standard | 000-3875 | 38.75-59.30 | 5930-78 33 | 7833-87 48 | \%3\% 5\% - 10000 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 000-000 | 0.00-7.47 | 7.47-21.41 | 2i if - 1080 | 4088 - 10000 |
| Social Studes 9 | Acceptable Standard | 000.5626 | 56.26-62.27 | 6227-7404 | 74 0.4-7585 | 75 85-10000 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 000-1003 | 10.03-12.78 | 1278-1976 | 1976. 2403 | $\therefore 203-10000$ |
| Social Studes 9 KAE | Acceptable Standard | 0.00-3879 | 38.79-53.82 | 53.82-72.42 | 72.4.2.8888 | 8488-10000 |
|  | Standard of Excellence | 000.0.00 | 0,00-5.71 | 5.71-17.19 | 17 19.359 | $36.26-10000$ |

Notes:

1. The range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than or equal to the lower value, and less than the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from greater than or equal to the lower value to $100 \%$.
2. Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the flooding in June 2013 (Grade 9 only) and by the fires in May to June 2016 . Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.
3. Achievement Evaluation is not calculated for courses that do not have sufficient data available, either due to too few jurisdictions offering the course or because of changes in tests.

## Improvement Table

For each jurisdiction, improvement evaluation consists of comparing the Current Year result for each measure with the previous three-year average. A chi-square statistical test is used to determine the significance of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.
The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

| Evaluation Category | Chi-Square Range |
| :---: | :---: |
| Decined Signiticantly | 3844 (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Dectined | 1.00-3 83 (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Meintained | less than 1.00 |
| improsed | 1.00-383 (current > previous 3-year average) |
| Improved Sigurieamily | 3.84 + (current > previous 3-year average) |

## Overall Evaluation Table

The overall evaluation combines the Achievement Evaluation and the Improvement Evaluation. The table below illustrates how the Achievement and Improvement evaluations are combined to get the overall evaluation.

|  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very High | High | Intermediate | Low | Very Low |
| Improved Significantly | Excrilert! | Good | rseort | foort | Acceptable |
| Improved | Excellent | Good | Scood | Acceptable | issue |
| Maintalned | Exceilent | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Concern |
| Declined | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern |
| Declined Significantly | Acceplable | Issue | Issue | Concern | Concern |

## Program of Studies - Measure Details

Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the opportunity for students to receive a broad program of studies including fine arts, career, technology, and health and physical education.

|  | School |  |  |  |  | Authority |  |  |  |  | Province |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Overall | 75.8 | 82.1 | 83.0 | n/a | 75.0 | 76.3 | 77.2 | 77.3 | 76.5 | 76.8 | 81.5 | 81.3 | 81.3 | 81.9 | 81.9 |
| Teacher | 78.8 | 85.2 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 83.4 | 86.6 | 85.2 | 84.8 | 85.2 | 87.9 | 87.5 | 87.2 | 88.1 | 88.0 |
| Parent | 72.9 | 79.0 | 83.0 | * | * | 69.4 | 71.9 | 72.3 | 71.5 | 71.1 | 78.9 | 79.9 | 79.9 | 80.4 | 80.1 |
| Student | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 75.0 | 76.3 | 73.2 | 74.5 | 73.3 | 74.2 | 77.8 | 76.6 | 76.9 | 77.5 | 77.7 |



## Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (")
2. Survey results for the province and school authoities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the survey through the introduction of the OurSCHOOUTTFM (TeH Them From Me) survey in 2014.

## Education Quality - Measure Details

|  | School |  |  |  |  | Authority |  |  |  |  | Province |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Overall | 89.2 | 91.8 | 94.3 | 96.3 | 92.2 | 88.9 | 86.9 | 87.6 | 87.3 | 88.3 | 89.8 | 89.2 | 89.5 | 90.1 | 90.1 |
| Teacher | 95.0 | 98.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 97.2 | 96.7 | 94.6 | 96.1 | 95.5 | 95.7 | 95.5 | 95.9 | 96.0 | 95.9 |
| Parent | 77.8 | 93.6 | 91.7 | * | * | 81.6 | 79.3 | 81.9 | 79.7 | 82.8 | 84.9 | 84.7 | 85.4 | 86.1 | 86.4 |
| Student | 94.8 | 83.5 | 96.9 | 96.3 | 92.2 | 87.9 | 84.8 | 86.3 | 86.2 | 86.6 | 88.7 | 87.3 | 87.4 | 88.0 | 88.1 |



Notes:
Notes

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 Suppression is marked with an asterisk (").
2. Survey resutts for the province and school authorities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the survey through the introduction of the Ourschoolitik (Tell Them From Me) survey in 2014.

## Safe and Caring - Measure Details

Percentage of teacher, parent and student agteement that: students are safe at school, are learning the importance of caring for others, are learning respect for others and are treated fairly in school.

|  | School |  |  |  |  | Authority |  |  |  |  | Province |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2097 |
| Overall | 88.7 | 87.9 | 92.0 | 80.6 | 82.5 | 87.1 | 85.8 | 86.8 | 86.0 | 85.8 | 89.0 | 89.1 | 89.2 | 89.5 | 89.5 |
| Teacher | 98.0 | 98.1 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 94.8 | 95.1 | 93.2 | 94.7 | 94.2 | 95.0 | 95.3 | 95.4 | 95.4 | 95.3 |
| Parent | 83.3 | 92.5 | 96.7 | * | * | 84.7 | 84.9 | 87.7 | 83.9 | 83.9 | 87.8 | 88.9 | 89.3 | 89.8 | 89.9 |
| Student | 84.6 | 73.2 | 87.4 | 80.6 | 82.5 | 81.8 | 77.3 | 79.3 | 79.3 | 79.4 | 84.2 | 83.1 | 83.0 | 83.4 | 83.3 |



## Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than B. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. Survey results for the province and school authoities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the survey through the introduction of the OurSCHOOLTTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey in 2014.

| School Improvement - Measure Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of teachers, parents and students indicating that their school and schools in their jurisdiction have improved or stayed the same the last three years. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | School |  |  |  |  | Authority |  |  |  |  | Province |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Overall | 76.2 | 85.5 | 87.9 | 86.7 | 89.6 | 76.0 | 75.9 | 75.7 | 73.8 | 75.1 | 80.6 | 79.8 | 79.6 | 81.2 | 81.4 |
| Teacher | 88.9 | 90.9 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 80.4 | 82.6 | 82.6 | 77.9 | 78.5 | 80.9 | 81.3 | 79.8 | 82.3 | 82.2 |
| Parent | 50.0 | 87.5 | 83.3 | - | * | 67.8 | 71.9 | 70.4 | 67.2 | 71.0 | 77.9 | 77.0 | 78.5 | 79.7 | 80.8 |
| Student | 89.6 | 77.9 | 92.4 | 86.7 | 89.6 | 79.9 | 73.3 | 74.1 | 76.2 | 75.9 | 82.9 | 81.2 | 80.7 | 81.5 | 81.1 |



## Notes

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Supprassion is marked with an asterisk (")
2. Survey results for the province and school authorities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the survey through the introduction of the OurSCHOOLTTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey in 2014.

# WETASKIWIN REGIONAL PUBLIC SCHOOLS RELATIONSHIPS 

## WRPS Mission Statement:

"We inspire, sustain and celebrate learning, are open to all students, and focus on service through research-based instruction so that children and youth discover, develop and act upon their potential"

## School Mission / Vision Statement

Students, parents, staff, and the community, working together, to achieve a safe and caring learning environment We are committed to provide strong technology, fine arts, physical education and academic programs that develop confident, adaptable, skilled, and inquisitive students.

## What is a Positive School Environment?

1. The Education Act $33(1)(\mathrm{d})$ directs that a Board has responsibility to "ensure that each student enrolled in a school ... and each staff member... is provided with a welcoming, caring, respectful and safe learning environment that respects diversity and fosters a sense of belonging". (page 37).
2. The Alberta School Boards Association defines a safe and secure school culture as "one that is physically, emotionally and psychologically safe, characterized by:

- caring
- common values and beliefs
- respect for democratic values, rights and responsibilities
- respect for cultural diversity
- respect for law and order
- common social expectations
- clear and consistent behavioural expectations
- appropriate and positive role modelling by staff and students
- respect for individual differences
- effective anger-management strategies
- community, family, student and staff involvement" (1994, p. 16).


## School Wide Positive School Environment: A Collaborative Process

| Roles | IRespunisibilities |
| :---: | :---: |
| School Administrator | - Through collaboration with the school community holds leadership responsibility for providing an emotionally safe environment where students have a sense of Belonging. <br> - Articulates a clear philosophy regarding student code of conduct and discipline in the school <br> - Brings stakeholders together for visioning, planning and evaluating progress; <br> - Manages financial and material resources required to implement programming; <br> - Evaluates and reports outcomes to parent community and jurisdiction. |
| Guidance Counsellors and Family School Liaisons (FSLs) \& FNMI Staff | Collaborate with administrators and school teams in helping to explore and locate research based positive school environment programming that suits the unique school community; <br> - By exploring and identifying needs, gaps and strengths in the school environment; <br> - By administering and interpreting needs assessments \& school culture surveys <br> - consultation/collaboration support to LST Team and teachers; <br> - liaising with community partners and organizations; <br> - Helping to activate student and parent voice in the assessment, implementation and evaluation stages of Positive School Environment programming. <br> - Developing relationships with parents and community |
| Learning Support Teachers (LSTs) | - Work collaboratively to develop, document, implement and monitor interventions for students identified with emotional/behavioral needs in Tier 2 and 3. <br> - Consultation/collaboration support for students with complex needs <br> - Liaise with school division student support personnel |
| Learning Assistant Teacher (LAT) | - Supporting Students Tier 2 \& 3 |
| Classroom Teachers | - Collaborate with administrators and school teams in implementation and evaluation of Positive School Environment programming. <br> - Ensure a positive classroom environment where students feel emotionally safe, respected and a sense of Belonging. <br> - Identify to the Learning Support Team those students who are not successful with tier one interventions alone. |
| Wellness Leaders | - Shares knowledge, expertise, skills and linkages to Comprehensive School Health and Health curriculum relating to staff and students' social/emotional/developmental needs, Healthy Relationships and Career Explorations. <br> - SCHEP Representative |
| Mental Health Capacity Staff | - Work with students and staff. <br> - Program Implementation: Zones of Regulation; Go Zen; Flexible Me; <br> - Working with pods of students based on identified needed supports <br> - Develop capacity with teachers: coaching, supporting, sharing ideas, modeling |
| Teacher Assistants | - Support and reinforce school wide and classroom behavioral expectations; <br> - Support individual students according to their Individualized program Plan (IPP). |


| SAFFRON Centre | - Internet Safety \& Relationship <br> - Programs for students / schools |
| :---: | :---: |
| Centre for Suicide Education \& Information / Alberta Suicide Awareness | - Targeting K-8 students with presentations |
| Alberta Health Services - Sexual Health Nurse | - Supporting health programs <br> - Providing students and staff information <br> - LGBTQ supports |
| RCMP School Liaison | - Supporting schools and students <br> - Education programs: DARE; Cyber-Bullying; Drug \& Gang Awareness <br> - Admin Support <br> - VITRA Support and Participation |
| Parents | - Provide insights into the social/emotional/developmental needs of the student body <br> - Collaborate with school staff to achieve a Positive School environment |
| Director of Support Services | - Through collaboration with school administration, staff and parents, holds leadership responsibility for ensuring emotionally safe environments where students have a sense of Belonging. <br> - Brings stakeholders together for visioning, planning and evaluating progress; <br> - Reports outcomes to jurisdiction and province. |
| School Supporting Students and Families | - Provision of Emergency clothing <br> - Provision of supplemental snacks <br> - Provision of emergency lunches <br> - Supports and resources for families: targeted food hampers, etc. |

## Tier 1 Triangle: Universal Supports that Benefit All Students

## Tier 1

Ensure a welcoming, caring, respectful, inclusive and safe learning school-wide environment; that respects diversity and fosters a sense of belonging.
Ensure a positive school-wide behavior approach by promoting empathy, caring, respect \& kindness.

Ensure consistent, positive, pro-active, caring classroom management.

Promote good Citizenship.
School goals \& strategies are data informed

TIER 1: Ensuring a School Wide Positive School Environment

## Expected Outcomes in a Positive School Environment

$\checkmark$ Students and Staff feel a welcoming positive environment at Centennial School
$\checkmark$ Students and Staff feel a sense of belonging at Centennial School - a friendly, safe \& respectful atmosphere permeates
$\checkmark$ Student basic social, emotional, physical, and intellectual needs are met and they are able to learn
$\checkmark$ An environment of mutual respect and cooperation exists among all Centennial School stakeholders: Students, Staff, Parents and Community
$\checkmark$ Students want to be at School, thus attendance rates continue to increase

## Examples:

- Students are motivated to be at school because they have positive and healthy relationships in their school.
- Students are motivated to be at school because their academic and emotional needs are understood and appropriately supported.


## Rational for targeting these outcomes:

$\square$ The Safe and Caring Schools research and the Martin Brokenleg Model of creating a sense of belonging clearly make a strong case that by meeting students' basic needs, students will achieve greater academic success.
[ School survey data (Accountability Pillar and Our School) indicate public interest and support for safe and caring and character education / virtues project initiatives, as these help provide basic supports to enable effective learning.
$\square$ Research indicates that students in communities with major poverty and low socio-economic status, inner-city environments, perform better when basic needs are met and they are in a welcoming, supportive environment.
$\square$ Attendance has been an issue for a significant percentage of students during the last decade. We are striving to improve attendance rates.
$\square$ We see community trends in Wetaskiwin indicating greater concern about gang activity, drug use and bullying in general. Being aware of the larger urban community issues and concerns, we want to monitor and guard against problems emerging at our school level.

## School Behavior Philosophy

# "Let us put our hearts and our minds together and see what good we can do for our children" - Chief Sitting Bull 

Respecting oneself, respecting others, and respecting our property and surroundings are central to our expectations of our students. Our behavior expectations policies will be reinforced with Character Education \& Virtues Project initiatives throughout the school year as we target good citizenship themes on a monthly basis. We also support positive behaviors through our school-wide mindfulness initiative in all classrooms. We encourage respect and kindness and promote empathy school-wide.

## Behaviors Requiring Disciplinary Action:

## There will be Zero Tolerance* for:

- Threatening the safety and security of others / Assault / Abusive language / Vandalism / Drugs / Theft / Willful and/or open disobedience / Inappropriate dress / Bullying*
*Bullying is defined as repeated negative actions that target a child over time.

Zero Tolerance refers to Policy 827.0000 of the Wetaskiwin Regional Public Schools Policy Handbook, and states:
a. In Wetaskiwin regional Public Schools, "zero tolerance" shall mean that all student misbehaviors and disciplinary issues are challenged, managed, and dealt with. There is a "zero tolerance" for ignoring or avoiding student misbehavior or disciplinary issues.
b. All inappropriate behaviors are dealt with appropriately, based on the merits of each individual case, with provision for varying consequences depending on the nature of the problem and the people involved.
c. Common sense, discretion, and prudent professional judgment must be exercised in determining the appropriate consequence for each situation.
d. Foresight, vigilance, and pro-active early interventions are employed in order to limit or prectude the advent or escalation of disciplinary issues.

## Student Responsibilities

## A. WE WILL DO OUR SCHOOL WORK

1. We will follow instructions and directions.
2. We will do our work neatly.
3. We will do our assignments on time.
4. We will be on time.
5. We will be prepared for class.
6. We will be ready to listen and work.
7. We will work hard and try to do our best.

## B. WE WILL RESPECT EVERYONE

1. We will use kind and polite words when we speak to others.
2. We will listen to and obey all school staff.
3. We will take turns. We will talk when it is our turn to talk.
4. We will be good listeners.
5. We will respect that others are here to learn also.
6. We will play fair.
7. We, older students, will set a good example for younger students.
C. WE WILL RESPECT THE SAFETY \& LEARNING OF ALL
8. We will be fair and play safe.
9. We will get along with others.
10. We will stay on the school grounds.
11. We will walk throughout the school.
12. We will respect the learning of others.
13. We will work and listen quietly at our desks.
D. WE WILL TREAT THE SCHOOL, AND THE PROPERTY OF OTHERS WITH RESPECT
14. We will keep our school, and the school grounds tidy.
15. We will not touch what belongs to others, unless they give permission.
16. We will clean up after ourselves.
17. We will put things back where we found them.
18. We will take care of all school property and equipment.
19. We will help to make school a pleasant place.
20. We will use school materials and equipment in the proper intended way.

| Outcome | Target Giroup | Strategies | Who will Take the Lead? | Timelines | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students and Staff feel a sense of belonging at Centennial School - a friendly, safe \& respectful atmosphere permeates | ALL <br> Students <br>  <br> School <br> Families | Safe \& Caring Message "Kindness" theme through-out Centennial offers a rich menu of student programs: Use of Sharing \& Talking Circles, Cultural Engagement: Beading, Drumming, Dance, Arts \& Crafts, Sports: Intramural \& After-School Communicate clear student expectations \& responsibilities. This is provided as a Student | ALL Staff are expected to contribute <br> Some programs are led by School Assembly Team <br> FSLW and FNMI Workers teach or lead with Supporting programs | Ongoing | Feedback from survey results <br> Parent comments during PTI |

School: 3145 Centennial School
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OUTCOME \#3

| Outcome | Target Ciroup | Strategies | Who will Take the Leall? | Timelines | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An environment of mutual respect and cooperation exists among alt Centennial School | ALL | Opportunities for students to have a part in decision making Opportunities for parents to have a role in school based decision making <br> Opportunities for Staff Input and decision making <br> $\checkmark$ Opportunities for Student | All Staff have various roles and expectation | Ongoing | Annual Review |

Centennial School Education Plan \& Results Report 2017-2020
School: 3145 Centennial School

| stakeholders: | leadership <br> Students, Staff, | $\checkmark$Provision of supports and links <br> of resources for parents and <br> Parents and <br> Community |  | families |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Staff supports are provided - <br> to help with contacting parents, <br> and dealing with physical, <br> emotional and social needs of <br> students and families. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Centennial School Education Plan \& Results Report 2017-2020

## TIER 2 Targeted or TIER 3 Specialized Interventions

## When do we move to Tier 2 Targeted Interventions?

When Tier 1 School Wide Positive School Environment supports have been insufficient to support a student's social/emotional needs and/or success, moving to Tier 2 interventions is indicated

How does a student access Tier 2 intervention?
Typically the referring teacher will complete a quick checklist to communicate strengths, issue, concerns, and reason for referral.

Checklists to gather good information about the students' strengths, interests and needs as well as parents' desire for involvement are located in the Building on Success: helping students make transitions from vear to vear document from Alberta Education.

Weekly LST Meetings - FSLW, FNMI, LST, Principal, VP, LAT

## What do Tier 2 Targeted interventions look like?

Services for students in Tier 2 are typically provided by the Guidance Counsellor and/or Family School Liaison (FSL)

Depending upon the age of the student, Counsellors and/or FSLs will consider completing an initial interview with a parent at the start of the intake process (except when it is not in the best interest of the student).

Counsellors and/or FSLs will provide direct counselling services to students exhibiting emotional and/or behavioral problems.

Supports may be provided through small (research based) groups or one on one interventions. Through goal setting, they will build support plans for individual children, families and/or groups.
They will provide crisis intervention support/services to students and families.
The MHCB and/or FSL will work collaboratively with school personnel, community agencies and other professionals to advocate for children's needs, and/or remove barriers that impede learning and/or development.

## CENTENNIAL SCHOOL

## INDIGENOUS PROGRAM LOGIC MODEL

2017-2020
CENTENNIAL SCHOOL INDIGENOUS PROGRAM LOGIC MODEL 2017-2018
Sense of Belonging: Increase academic success and contribute to social-emotional well-being

| Strategies | Practices and Actions | Evidence and Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provide supports and learning opportunities | - Cultural room and safe space for students to work with MLW at various times throughout the day <br> - Access to FSLW and daily breakfast program <br> - Access to nutritional snacks and emergency lunches <br> - Access to smudging <br> - Leadership \& Conflict Resolution activities promoted including: Peacemakers \& WDACS | - The number of students participating in cultural activities \& Circle Groups is steady and consistent <br> - Many students both FNMI and non-FNMI participating <br> - Number of Peacemakers: 17 <br> - Daily average number of students dropping in to see MLW: 9 <br> - Number of students participating in WDACS community program: 12 <br> - Average number of students coming for breakfast daily: 53 <br> - Average number of students getting an emergency lunch daily: 22 |
| Provide culturally significant opportunities for students | - Work with Elders/community members to support cultural instruction <br> - Provide conversational Cree language in Culture room <br> - Host cultural events: pipe ceremony; round dance <br> - Provide cultural opportunities (beading, sewing, drumming) <br> - Daily smudging as needed/wanted <br> - Dancing: traditional hoop, etc. | - Average Number of students smudging daily: 41 <br> - Number of kids beading: $\mathbf{3 0}$ <br> - Sewing: 6 <br> - Number of boys drumming: 12 <br> - Number of kids dancing: 28 <br> - Number of Elders/community members leading or helping with programs during school year: 5 <br> - Number of events hosted: 15 <br> - Events: Smudge room opening; teepee teachings; pipe ceremonies; boy's cultural ceremonies; flag raising; round dance |

CENTENNIAL SCHOOL INDIGENOUS PROGRAM LOGIC MODEL 2017-2018

| Prepare students for academics; academic supports meeting with parents, students, staff | - Liaison between teachers, MLW, FSLW and FNMI families <br> - Attend appointments for students and parents to mental health and medical appointments <br> - Arrange or provide transportation for students as required | - Home visits: 1 <br> - Attendance letters monthly: 6 <br> - Attendance parent contact monthly: 60 <br> - transport of students/parents: 3 <br> - Daily teacher drop-in support: 3 <br> - LST team member: weekly meetings and VTRA meetings <br> - Parents have been appreciative of the supports provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Attendance, Study Habits, Work Ethic, Planning: Improve school attendance and academic success

| Strategies | Practices and Actions | Evidence and Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increase Student Attendance | - Monitor student attendance and assist teachers to contact families <br> - Complete home visits to address attendance concerns <br> - Determine reasons for tardiness <br> - Problem solve transportation issues <br> - Liaise with Maskwacis Transportation | - FNMI attendance rate <br> - Communication records <br> - Attendance letters monthly: 6 <br> - Attendance parent contact monthly: 60 <br> - Home visits: 1 |
| Promote study habits, work ethic and planning | - Students will receive career goal planning via myblueprint student profile (plan to implement in future) <br> - Arrange presentations, speakers, role models to support our students in making positive healthy choices | - Student profiles completed in myblueprint (TBD in future) <br> - Student participation in leadership and enrichment events and activities: Peacemakers; WDACS; cultural |

Academic Success: Achieve literacy at the same rate as all other students

| Strategies | Practices and Actions | Evidence and Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading Intervention Programs | - September diagnostic assessments completed <br> - Supporting programs begin in October each school year | - Number of students that receive supports: <br> - Student Assessment measures: <br> - Gr. 6 PAT results: <br> - FNMI vs Non-FNMI PAT results <br> - Our School Survey data: |

Academic Success: Achieve numeracy at the same rate as all other students

| Strategies | Practices and Actions | Evidence and Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Strategies and Supporting programs | - September diagnostic assessments completed <br> - Supporting programs begin in October each school year | - Number of students receiving supports <br> - Gr. 6 PAT results: <br> - FNMI vs Non-FNMI PAT results <br> - Our School Survey data: |

## CENTENNIAL SCHOOL

## SCHOOL BUDGET \& SCHOOL GENERATED FUNDS PLAN

$$
2017-2020
$$

Subtotalling: FUND-OBJECT-PROGRAM-LOCATION-SUB PROGRAM-COSTING, OFF

Account
1-101-200-45-0200-01000
1-101-200-45-0325-0.0000
1-101-309-45-0020-000000
1-101-300-45-0028-00000
1-101-300-45-0100-00000
1-101-300-45-0155-010000
1-101-300-45-0160-00000
1-101-300-45-0162-00000
1-101-300-45-0320-00000
1-101-300-45-0321-00000
1-101-300-45-0325-000000
1-101-300-45-0345-010000
1-101-300-45-0355-00000
1-101-300-45-0380-00000
1-104-320-45-0173-01000
1-105-300-45-0100-00000
1-170-300-45-0045-00000
1-173-300-45-0131-00000
1-584-300-45-0000-00000
$2-210-200-45-0000-00000$
2-210-300-45-0000-000009
2-210-3.123-45-0000-90000
2-210-110-45-0000 -00000
$2-210-325-45-0000-000000$
2-210-325-45-0155-00000
2-230-300-45-0150-00000
2-230-300-45-0151-00000
2-230-303-45-0000-00000
2-230-320-45-0173-00000
2-230-395-45-0045-00000
2-235-300-45-0150-0.0000
2-235-300-45-0151-00000
$2-235-320-45-0173-00000$
2-235-395-45-0045-000000
2-250-200-45-0000-00000
$2-250-300-45-0000-00000$
2-250-300-45-0150-000000
2-250-300-45-0151-00000
2.250-320-45-00000-00000
$2-250-325-45-0000-00000$
2-251-311-45-0000-00000
2-253-310-45-0000-00000
2-259-300-45-0150-00000
2-259-300-45-0151-00000
2-411-300-45-0000-00000
2-421-300-45-0000-00,000
$2-440-310-45-0000-00000$
2-442-300-45-9000-00000
2-443-300-45-0000-01000
2-471-200-45-0000-000000
2-471-300-45-0000-010000
2-471-300-45-0156-0p000
2-500-200-45-0000-00000
2-500-300-45-0000-00000
2-500-300-45-0112-00000

| Deferciption | Budas |
| :---: | :---: |
| AB ED BASIC ECS | 0.00 |
| AB ED EASIC ECS MM ALLOC | 0.00 |
| AB ED SHARED SERVICES ALLOC | (1,972.163.00) |
| AB ED AISI ALLOC | 0.00 |
| AB ED BASIC GRADES 1-9 ALLOC | 0.00 |
| AB ED LEARNING SUPPORT ALLOC | 0,00 |
| AB ED COUNSELLING ALLOC | 0.09 |
| AB ED ESL ALLOC | 0.00 |
| SP ED SYS PROGRAM ALLOCATION | 0.00 |
| AB ED EQUITY OF OPPORTUNITY ALLOC | 0.00 |
| SP ED SCHOOL BASED ALLOCATION | 0.00 |
| AB ED FNMI ALLOC | (10.500.00) |
| AB ED CLASS SIZE ALLOC | 0.00 |
| AB ED SMALL SCHOOL ALLOC | 0.00 |
| PROV GOVT INST SPECIAL PROJECT | 0.00 |
| FEDERAL BASIC GRADES 1-9 ALLOC | 0.00 |
| RESOURCE FEES ALLOCATION CN | 0.00 |
| PGM REVENUE-AGENDA | 0.00 |
| SURPLUS CARRY-FORWARD | 0.00 |
| CERT. SALARY ECS GENERAL | 46,679,00 |
| CERT, SALARY INST. GENERAL | 1,197,867,00 |
| CERT. SALARY INST. COUNSELLING | 0.09 |
| CERT. SALARY INST. ADMIN GEN. | 145,196,00 |
| CERT. SALARY M\&M GENERAL | 0.00 |
| CERT. SAL M 8 M LEARNING SUPPORT | 155.588,00 |
| CERT. SUBSTITUTE INST. PD REL. | 10,058.00 |
| CERT. SUB INST SBA | 0.00 |
| CERT. SALARY SUBS INST COUNSEL | 0.00 |
| CERT. SALARY SUBS BCI | 0.00 |
| CERT. SUBS SALARY AISI CN | 0.00 |
| SUBSTITUTE BNFTS INST. PD REL, | 0.00 |
| SUB BEN INST SBA | 0.00 |
| CERT. BENEFITS SUBS CBI | 0.00 |
| CERT. BENEFITS SUBS AISI | 0.00 |
| UNCERT. SALARY ECS GENERAL | 0.00 |
| UNCERT. SALARY TA INST.GENERAL | 0.00 |
| UNCERT SALARY SUB PROF DEVEL | 0.00 |
| UNCERT SALARY SUB SBA | 0.00 |
| UNCERT. SALARY SEVERE GENERAL | 0.00 |
| UNCERT. SALARY TAS | 268,269,00 |
| UNCERT. SALARY LIBRARIAN | 21,392,00 |
| UNCERT. SALARY ADMIN ASSIST | 54,818,00 |
| UNCERT SAL SUBS PD RELEASE | 0.00 |
| UNCERT SAL SUBS SITE BASED | 0.00 |
| CONSULTANT INSTRUCTION GENERAL | 0.00 |
| STAFF RELATIONS | 2,000 |
| PHONEMAIL INST.AL ADMIN GEN. | 3.900 .00 |
| PRINTING \& BINDING INST. GEN. | 800.00 |
| ADVERTISING INST. GENERAL | 1,0000,00 |
| FIELD TRIPS ECS GENERAL | 500,00 |
| FIELD TRIPS INST. GENERAL | 6,000,00 |
| FIELD TRIPS INST. SWIMMING | 0.00 |
| SUPPLIES ECS GENERAL | 500,00 |
| SUPPLIES INST. GENERAL | 20.325.00 |
| SUPPLIES INST. LANGUAGE ARTS | 0.00 |

Location Cenlennial School selection Criteria CENTENNIAL SITE BUDGET Year 2018

Budgat Inquiry Detall Budgat Inquiry
Commi

| Expendituras | Balpnces |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0,00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| (1,972.163.00) | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,009 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0,00 |
| (10.500.00) | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0,00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 |

$19.093,49 \quad 27.576 .51$

| $498,425,49$ | $699,441.51$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |


| 59.401 .91 | $85,794.09$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\underline{0.00}$ | 0.00 |
| 0.040 |  |


| 63.644 .69 | $91,923.11$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 761.95 | $9,296.05$ |

2,688.81 (2,666,81)

| 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |

0.00
(41.83)
(133.96)
(3,191.31)

Account
2-500-300-45-0120-00000
2-500-300-45-0133-00.000
2-500-300-45-0163-00000
2-500-300-45-0178-90000
2-500-320-45-0000-00000
2-500-325-1도 - $0000-00000$
2-500-345-45-0045-00000
$2-510-310-45-0000-00000$
2-511-300-45-0000-00000
2-520-300-45-0000-00000
$2-540-200-45-0000-00000$
2-540-300-45-0000-00000
2-545-200-45-0000-00000
2.545-311-45-00.00-00000
2.560-300-45-00000 000000

2-560-310-15-0000-00000
2-570-200-45-00010-00.009
2-570-300-45-0000-90000 2-570-300-15-0260-00000
2-570-310-45-0000-00000 2-570-320-45-0000-00000 2.570-325-45-0000-00000 2-570-7455-45-0045-00000 2-570-395-45-0045-00000 2.575-200-45-0000-00000 2-575-300-45-0000-00000 2.575-300-45-0260-00000 2-575-310-45-00000-00000 2-575-320-45-0000-00000 2-575-325-45-0000-00000 2-575-345-45-0045-00000 2-575-395-45-0045-00000 2-580-300 45 -0000-00000 2-612-300 -45-0156-00000 2.751-300-45-0000-00000

| Peseription | Budaes | Commit | Expenditures | 日alance 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUPPLIES INST. PHYS ED | 2.500, 的 | 0.00 | 967,22 | ¢,532.78 |
| SUPPLIES INST. TECH | 2,000,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,000.00 |
| SUPPLIES INST. COMPLEMENTARY | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.0 |
| FOOD FOR STUDENTS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 332.08 | (332.00) |
| SUPPLIES SEVERE GENERAL | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | . 00 |
| SUPPLIES M8M GENERAL | 500,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 500.00 |
| SUPPLIES FNMII CN | 10.500.00 | 0.00 | 4.446,77 | 6,053.23 |
| OFFICE SUPPLIES-SCHOOL ADMIN | 2,200,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,200.00 |
| EOUIPMENT MAINT. INST. GEN. | 1,500.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,500.00 |
| LEASING INSTRUCTION GENERAL | 1,000,00 | 0.00 | 1,877,57 | (877.57) |
| TEXTBOOKS INST. ECS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| TEXTBOOKS INST. GENERAL | 8.090,00 | 0.00 | 92.89 | 7,907.11 |
| LIBRARY ECS GENERAL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LIBRARY INST,SUPP GENERAL | 20000,00 | 0.00 | 4.263.62 | (2,263.62) |
| EQUIPMENT INST. GENERAL | 2,0,00,00 | 0.00 | 1,847,09 | 152.91 |
| EOUIPMENT ADMIN GENERAL | 1,000,00 | 0.00 | 30.15 | 969.85 |
| TRAVEL \& SUBSISTENCE ECS GEN. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 |
| TRV. \& SUB INST, GEN. | 1.000,00 | 0.00 | 146, 18 | 853.82 |
| TRV. ${ }^{\text {S SUB INST. PROF DEV }}$ | 5.000000 | 0.00 | 593.36 | 4,416.64 |
| TRV. 8 SUB INST ADMIN GENERAL | 2.000 .00 | 0.00 | 236.44 | 1,773.56 |
| TRV, 8 SUB SEVERE GENERAL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 |
| TRV. \& SUB M 8 M GENERAL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TRV. 8 SUB FNMICE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TRV. 8 SUB AISI CE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DUES \& FEES ECS GENERAL | 200,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 200.00 |
| DUES \& FEES INST. GENERAL | 400,00 | 0.00 | 105.00 | 295.00 |
| DUES \& FEES INST. PROF DEV | 5,000,00 | 0.00 | 1,649,28 | 3,350.72 |
| OUES \& FEES INST.AL ADMIN GEN. | 3.000,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 3.000 .00 |
| DUES AFEES SEVERE GENERAL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DUES \& FEES M8M GENERAL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 |
| DUES \& FEES FNMI CN | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DUES \& FEES AISI CE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SURPLUS INST. GENERAL | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SUPPLY RECOVERY. SWIMMING | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CAPITAL INSTRUCTION GENERAL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 |
|  | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,958,313.77) | 158,313.77 |

SCHOOL GENERATED FUNDS ANNUAL PLAN
For the school year ended August 31, 2018

| School | Centennial School |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fund | ALL |

## Sources of Revenue:

| Opening Balances | 15,566 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fundraising | 7,400 |
| Student Fees | 13,276 |
| Donations | 600 |
| Other | 6,000 |
| Revenue | 42,842 |


| Expenditures: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Other Expenses | 1,200 |
| Fundraising Related Expenses | 1,200 |
| Expenses paid for by Fundraising | 9,780 |
| Fees Related Expenses | 15,026 |
| Donations Related Expenses | 9,000 |
| Total Expenditures | $\mathbf{3 6 , 2 0 6}$ |
| Closing SGF balance | 6,636 |

SCHOOL GENERATED FUNDS ANNUAL PLAN
For the school year ended August 31, 2018


## Expenditures Activites:

Other Expenses

|  | - |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fundraising Related Expenses | - | - |
| HOT LUNCH SUPPLIES AND MISC |  |  |
|  | 250 |  |
| Expenses paid for by Fundraising | - | 250 |
| FIELD TRIP COSTS |  |  |
| YEAR END STUDENT ACTIVITIES | 1,200 |  |

## Fees Related Expenses

## Total Expenditures

Closing SGF fund balance

| 2,550 |
| ---: |
| 5,034 |


|  | Proposed Per <br> Student Fee 2017/18 | Total Budgeted Proposed Fees 2017/18 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Actual Per } \\ \text { Student } \\ \text { Fee } \\ 2016 / 17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total Forecasted <br> Actual Fees 2016/17 | Per Student \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Childhood Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enhanced (Full Day) ECS | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Other (please describe) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | - | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Total Early Child hood Services |  | \$0.00 |  | \$0.00 |  |
| Extracurricular Fees |  |  |  |  |  |
| BADMINTON | \$5.00 | \$50.00 | \$ | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0, |
| BASKETBALL | \$80.00 | \$1,600,00 | . | \$0.00 | Holv/0) |
| VOLLEYBALL | \$80.00 | \$1,600.00 | - | \$0.00 | HDIV/O! |
| CROSS-COUNTRY | \$10.00 | \$300.00 | - | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| Skilng | \$35.00 | \$1,050.00 | - | \$0.00 | \#DIV/0! |
| TRACK \& FEELD | \$10.00 | \$200.00 | - | \$0.00 | \#DIV/OI |
| Total Extracurritular Fees |  | \$4,800 00 |  | \$0.00 |  |
| Activity Fees |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fleld Trips: |  |  |  |  | 0\% |
| ECS KINDERGAATEN - EDMONTON 200 | \$8.00 | \$184,00 |  |  |  |
| ECS KINDERGARTEN - TELUS WORLD OF SCIENCE | \$12.00 | \$276.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 1 KRAAY FAMILY FARM / LACOMBE CORN MAZE | \$7.00 | \$175.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 1 WETASKIWIN HERITAGE MUSEUM | \$5.00 | \$125.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 1 TELUS WORLD OF SCIENCE | \$20.00 | \$500.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 2 JURASSIC FOREST | \$14.00 | \$378.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 2 RECREATIONAL SWIMS - WETASKIWIN | \$6.00 | \$162.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 2-3 UKRAINIAN VILLAGE | \$10.00 | \$270.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 2-3 EDMONTON 200 | \$8.00 | \$216.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 3-4 TELUS WORLD OF SCIENCE | \$12.00 | \$264.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 3-4 WINSPEAR THEATRE HEARING AND SOUND | \$15.00 | \$330.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 4 FORT EDMONTON PARK | \$20.00 | \$460.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 4 WETASKIWIN LAND-FILU/RECYCIING/WATER STATION | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 5 FORT EDMONTON | \$20.00 | \$520.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 5 LEDUC AGAICULTURE WEST - ELECTRIGITY MUSEUM | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 5 REYNOLDS MUSEUM | \$6.00 | \$156.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 5 Recreational Swims | \$10.00 | \$260.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 5-6\&6-RECREATIONAL SWIMS | \$10.00 | \$440.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 5-6 \& 6-REYNOLDS MUSEUM | \$15.00 | \$660.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 5-6\&6. WARWAR CAMP - RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 5-6\%6-U OF A ASTRONOMY TOUR | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 5-6 \& 6-DESS CROSSLEY EXPERIMENTAL FOREST | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| GA 5-6 \& 6- ALBERTA LEGISLATURE DAY TOUR | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 788.4 H CENTER | \$8.00 | \$560.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 7 \& 8 - TELUS WORLD OF SCIENCE | \$12.00 | \$840.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 788 - FORT EDMONTON | \$20.00 | \$1,400.00 |  |  |  |
| GR 7 \& 8 - WEST EDMONTON WORLO WATER PARK | \$0.00 | \$700.00 |  |  |  |
| Other (please describe) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | * | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Iotal Activity Fees |  | \$8,876.00 |  | \$0.00 |  |
| Lunch Supervision And Nopon Hour Actlvity Fees |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual/Monthly | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | S | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Drop-In | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | - | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Total Lunch Supervislon \& Noon Hour Activity Fees |  | \$0.00 |  | \$0.00 |  |
| Non-Curricular Goods and Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agenda Books | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$ | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Caution Fees/Refundable Deposits/Damage Fees | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | - | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Fitness Centre | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | - | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Ubrary | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | - | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Locker Rental | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | - | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Locks | \$5.00 | \$750.00 | 5.00 | \$580.00 | 0\% |
| Student Council | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | . | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Student ID | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | - | \$0.00 | 0\% |
| Unlforms | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | - | \$0.00 | 0\% |




[^0]:    Notes:

    1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*)
    2. Survey results for the province and school authonities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the survey through the introduction of the OurSCHOOLTTFM (Tell Them From Me) sumey in 2014.
[^1]:    1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*)
    2. Survey resuits for the province and school authorities were impacted by the changes in the number of students responding to the survey through the introduction of the OurSCHOOLTTFM (Tell Them From Me) suwey in 2014.
